

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,001	01/19/2006	Laurent Labrousse	284320US0PCT	5146	
22850 7550 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1795			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/08/2009	ELECTRONIC .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/565.001 LABROUSSE ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1705 Rodney G. McDonald All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Rodnev G. McDonald. (2) Daniel Pereira. (4)____. Date of Interview: 25 June 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 21. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the prior art as it was applied against the claims. Discussed the crystallization structure as was claimed and as was pointed out in the final rejection. Specifically discussed the anatase feature. Applicant's representative proposed possible amendments to the claims... (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

 U.S. Petert and Trademan Office

 TOC. 4.13 (Rev. Q-40:3)
 Interview Summary
 Paper No. 20090701

/Rodney G. McDonald/